TO BE TRIED FILING (DKT NO. 282-2)

Document 283

Filed 08/22/25

Page 1 of 6 Page ID

Case 2:24-cv-04546-SB-AGR

Case 2:24-cv-04546-SB-AGR

DECLARATION OF JAMISON T. GILMORE

I, Jamison T. Gilmore, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an associate at Blank Rome LLP, counsel of record for Defendants, Counterclaimants, and Third Party Plaintiffs (collectively, the "PCJV USA Parties"). I have personal knowledge of the facts herein and I could testify competently thereto.
- 2. On August 9, 2025, I proposed to Plaintiff's counsel a format for the Claims to be Tried document, upon which the parties agreed, for a joint response to the Court's Order in Dkt. No. 254 asking for all parties' claims, affirmative defenses, and factual and legal disputes regarding the same.
- 3. Between August 10 and 11, 2025, the parties exchanged multiple drafts of the Claims to be Tried filing. In the process of compiling a joint filing, several sections of the parties' respective claims were inadvertently omitted.
- 4. For example, on August 12, 2025 at 6:45 am before the 9:00 am filing deadline, I received a non-redlined proposed Claims to be Tried filing that included several major changes in the document. At 8:07 am, after addressing the changes I saw, I sent Plaintiff's counsel redlines for them to incorporate into a master Claims to be Tried document and asked for a return draft for me and Messrs. Arash Beral and Todd Malynn to review in order to approve a joint filing. I did not then see or expect that on page 86 of the 146 page non-redlined document, Plaintiff's counsel had changed elements five and six of PCJV USA Parties' Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations cause of action from "undisputed" to "factually disputed." This necessitated a response from PCJV USA Parties. Considering the rushed timing to insert positions, PCJV USA Parties inadvertently omitted their evidentiary support for these elements.
- 5. Without PCJV USA Parties getting an opportunity to review a further draft, on August 12, 2025 at approximately 9:50 a.m., Plaintiff's counsel unilaterally

4

6

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- filed a Claims to be Tried document without consent or approval. Dkt. No. 258. After I discussed this occurrence with Mr. Beral, I doubled checked to confirm whether PCJV USA Parties' last rounds of changes were imputed before Plaintiff unilaterally filed. The review was complicated because I did not have the master document from which to run a redline and because there was more than one author and version which had been exchanged.
- After the unilateral filing and through 4:36 p.m., I compiled omissions of PCJV USA Parties' last rounds of changes and emailed them in redline to Plaintiff's counsel requesting them to file a notice of errata including this material that was omitted from the Claims to be Tried filing. Due to the number of exchanges from different versions of drafts by different authors on August 10, 11 and 12, 2025, and my focus being on the last rounds of submitted changes I prepared, I did not see that an earlier submission to Plaintiff's counsel was not included in the unilaterally filed document as well. As result, that omitted material was not included in my 4:36 p.m. email to counsel requesting a notice of errata be prepared and filed.
- 7. On August 14, 2025 at approximately 10:40 a.m., after other pre-filings were completed, I emailed Plaintiff's counsel following up on my August 12, 2025 email because I had not received a response. The parties conferred and I approved a word version of an Amended Claims to be Tried filing that included revisions I emailed to Plaintiff's counsel on August 12, 2025. Plaintiff's counsel filed a Notice of Errata attaching a PDF version of the Amended Claims to be Tried filing.
- 8. In anticipation of responding to the Court's Order for a redline between Dkt Nos. 258 and 263-1 (see Dkt. No. 279), it came to my attention that the Amended Claims to be Tried filing (Dkt. No. 263-1) neither represented the word version of the filing I approved (a computer error appears to have occurred when converting the word document I approved to a PDF), nor included material from the

Accordingly, I brought the mistakes to the attention of Plaintiff's counsel, Matthew Follett. Counsel agreed to jointly file a second notice of errata (Dkt No. 282-1) so that the record would reflect the materials the parties intended to

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the

Executed August 22, 2025, within the United States its territories,

By: /s/ Jamison T. Gilmore
Jamison T. Gilmore

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on August 22, 2025, the foregoing document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, Central District of California, using the Court's Electronic Case Filing (ECF) system. I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 22, 2025.

By: <u>/s/AJ Cruickshank</u>